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Abstract
The structure and production of Swedish forests are described. It is pointed out that an imbalance in age classes will result in a serious decline in allowable cut unless growth is increased and utilization is improved. Interesting measures that are being adopted to maintain annual production at the normal level are described and discussed.

Résumé
La structure et le rendement des forêts suédoises sont décrits. On signale qu'il existe un manque d'équilibre des classes d'âge qui mènera à un déclin sérieux dans la possibilité à moins que la croissance est augmentée et l'utilisation améliorée. Quelques moyens, un peu extraordinaires, qu'on adopte pour soutenir la possibilité à un niveau normal sont décrits et discutés.

Introduction
Sweden is one of the northernmost countries of Europe. The 60th parallel crosses the country just north of Stockholm, the capital, and the arctic circle crosses the northern part of the country. The total land area is 450,000 km², of which 51% or 23 million ha are productive forest land. Of this area 25% belongs to the Crown and Church, 25% to companies, and 50% to private owners, mostly farmers. Sixty-nine per cent of the private forests consist of woodlots smaller than 100 ha. Of the company forests, 85% belong to companies with holdings larger than 100,000 ha.

Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) and Norway spruce (Picea excelsa) Karst are the two main coniferous species (Fig. 1). The main species of hardwoods are birch (Betula verrucosa Ehrh. and Betula pubescens Ehrh.) and in southern Sweden, a few hardwood species are to be found.

The age class distribution of the forests is uneven (Fig. 2). There is an excess of old, and a shortage of young and middle-aged forests. These facts influence the stand treatment mainly in the following three ways: a) the mature stands with best conditions are held over; b) the development of young stands is enhanced to the utmost; and c) a build-up of large volume in the middle-aged stands is encouraged to compensate for the lack of acreage.

In 1923 the National Forest Survey commenced with the aim of producing information that could be used in the formation of national and regional policies concerning forestry and forest industry. This survey allows us to follow the development of the forests. The development of the growing stock of course is of great interest (Fig. 3). At the first survey period 1923/29, the growing stock was 1,740 million m³. At the last period 1968/72 the growing stock was 2,290 m³, i.e., somewhat lower than the previous period.

The annual growth is also of great importance (Fig. 4). The growth changed in the same way as total volume from 56 million m³ in 1923/29 to 76 million in 1964/68 and to 70 million in 1968/72. The observations of the development of growing stock and annual growth indicate that annual cut balances annual growth and, therefore, growth must be increased or other measures must be taken if the forest industry is to expand.
Better Utilization of the Fibre Material

For economic and technical reasons small trees and damaged parts of the trees have not been used before at the final cutting. Neither have trees killed by insects or fungi been used. Minimum permissible top diameter of the pulpwood has been high and considerable volumes of wood have been left in the forest. Today all parties are very interested in using these quantities and now 2-3 million m$^3$/year come from wood which was earlier left in the forest. It should be possible to double this quantity within the foreseeable future.

The conventional harvesting method utilizes only the thicker part of the stem which represents 60-65% of the total biomass of the tree. The remaining part consists of branches and needles (15-20%), and stumps with roots (10-15%).

During 1974 a national project was started in Sweden to study the possibilities of using the biomass of trees somewhat better. Attempts have been made to assemble logging waste and use it in pulp production. The results show that the different fibre structure of the branches and needles makes the logging waste unsuitable for this purpose. From the biological point of view objections could also be raised against taking this material away from the forest since the needles and thinner branches contain considerable quantities of nutrients.

More promising results have been achieved with stump harvesting. There is little difference in the structure of the wood in this part of tree compared with stemwood. The ingrown stones and soil particles on the stump are the great problem. A special method has been developed to remove these from the chips and we are now building the first factory for production of chips from stumpwood and root-wood. The technique to take up stumps is so far not very efficient but extensive development work is in full progress and several methods have already been tested (Fig. 5).

The costs at the factory for stump-wood are already at an acceptable level with the present technique. In Falun at Stora Kopparberg, where I am working, we believe that within two to three years about 15% of the wood from our forests will come from stump harvesting. I believe that this method will be of great importance for the wood supply in the future in Sweden since five to six million m$^3$ (solid volume) of stumpwood could be harvested per year. To this could be added several positive effects as far as reforestation is concerned:

- insect damage by *Hylobius abietis* will be reduced;
- soil preparation will be improved; and it will be easier to mechanize planting.

A reduction of insects will probably make it possible to plant almost immediately after clear-cutting. Currently we have to wait two to three years after clear-cutting and this allows the competing grass and brush to establish themselves. Improved soil preparation should improve survival of the plants and increase their growth during the first important years. Improved possibilities to mechanize the planting are very significant. This reduced labour demand could reduce costs which is most important since they have risen alarmingly in recent years.

Steps to Increase the Growth

Fertilization

Forest fertilization on mineral soil was implemented in Sweden in 1964. The start was based on experiments made during a very long time. The first trial was made in 1907 and activities were intensified during the period 1950 to 1960. At the
beginning of large scale practical application of fertilizers it was quite obvious that the addition of nitrogen could increase the growth of the trees on the usual Swedish forest types.

At the beginning urea was used with 46% nitrogen content. Today we use almost all ammonium nitrate with a content of 34% of nitrogen. Ammonium nitrate gives a greater effect than urea and its efficiency is less dependent on the weather. It now appears that only ammonium nitrate will be used in the future. The amount of nitrogen has been doubled since 1964 and currently 140 kg/ha is applied. There is no tendency to change this amount.

The effect of fertilization usually lasts six to eight years. The smaller forest owners usually fertilize the stands six to eight years before the final cutting. The forest owners with larger holdings generally start fertilization earlier with repetitions every sixth to eighth year. A great advantage with fertilization six to eight years before the final cut is that the increased increment is utilized within a relatively short time. The effect of earlier fertilization could be utilized considerably later but has, however, the great advantage that it will contribute to the build-up of growing stocks within the middle-aged stands where we today have a great shortage.

From the start the fertilization increased rapidly to 100,000 ha and came to a stagnation for some years at that level (Fig. 6).

During recent years there has been a remarkable increase and in 1974 160,000 ha were fertilized. At this level annual growth in the forests increases by 2 million m³. The potential increase is estimated to be about 10 million m³, but since the private forests consist of small woodlots, fertilization may not reach that magnitude. In my opinion fertilization will produce an annual increment increase of 4-6 million m³ which corresponds to 5-10% of the present growth of the country.

Drainage

A considerable part of the Swedish forest land has a reduced increment because it is too wet. About 1.5 million ha of this land could be drained to increase the increment. According to an investigation 2-2.5 million ha of non-productive peat land could be made productive by drainage and fertilization with phosphorus and potassium. When estimating this area both environmental aspects and suitable form and location of the land have been taken into consideration. Growth on ditched and fertilized peat land would be the same as on surrounding mineral soil. The total production increased by drainage, plus fertilization when needed, has been estimated to be 10 million m³/year. The larger forest owners have increased drainage programs considerably during the last years, but I believe that it will take a long time before the combination of drainage and fertilization will reach this expectation in Sweden.

Stand Establishment

Artificial regeneration — mostly planting — amounted to 60% of the harvested area in 1975 and the remaining 40% was regenerated naturally using seed trees. It is estimated that natural regeneration will be reduced to 25% by 1980 and that artificial regeneration will be increased to 75% (Fig. 7).

The Logging Research Foundation in Sweden has been studying the possibilities of mechanizing the increasing artificial regeneration work. Regarding the total reforestation area they have found that:

1. On 30% of the area it is possible to use mechanized methods and that the share can be increased to 50% in 1990.
2. On 15% of the area it is impossible to mechanize
the work because areas are too small, and
3. On another 15% of the area mechanized meth-
ods can not be used because of terrain difficulties.
The possibility to mechanize artificial regenera-
tion will increase from 50% in 1975 to 65% in
1990 (Fig. 8). This means that manual systems
will still be used on 35% of the area in 1990. The
Research Foundation has also made a prognosis
regarding how soon mechanized systems will be
introduced. The first planting machines will be
put into use in the next two years. About 1985 the
machines will be used on 35% and about half the
area in 1990.
The types of planting stock change rapidly. In
1970 almost only bare-rooted plants were used
(Table 1), while in 1975 the proportions were
64% bare-rooted and 36% rooted in containers.

Table 1. Distribution of types of seedlings from 1970 to
1985.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bare-rooted Plants</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooted Plants in Containers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the forecast the share of rooted
seedlings will increase and in 1985 represent
more than 70% of all plants. Paper pots has so
far been the most widely used container and then
the multipot system. Both types have certain dis-
advantages and we are therefore interested in
getting a new type of container.

Rooted cuttings have been used in tree breed-
ing work earlier. We are now trying to develop the
techniques of producing cuttings for larger scale
reforestation. The costs, however, will most cer-
tainly become very high and therefore the cuttings
are intended to be used only on the best forest
sites.

Species

In reforestation work the two main species,
Norway spruce and Scots pine, are used — spruce
on better sites and pine on the poorer. Today 50%
of the pine seed comes from seed orchards, and
in 10 years it will be 100%. The spruce seed
orchards will not yield appreciable quantities for
another 10 years.

Increased yield production is already evident
from our earlier established Scots pine seed or-
chards. On the lowest site quality and class .6
m$^3$/ha or 38% more wood is produced from seed
originating from seed orchards as compared to
seed collected from natural stands (Table 2). On
the best soil the difference is 1.2 m$^3$ or 19%. (The
smaller percentage is because the calculation is
made on a greater absolute figure.)

During recent years we have started to plant
lodgepole pine from B.C. and the Yukon to a cer-
tain extent in Sweden. The reasons for this are
results from various experiments of which the
oldest are more than 40 years. Jan Remrod has
just recently published results from calculations
regarding the production of lodgepole pine on dif-
ferent site qualities and he compares it with Scots
pine from natural stands (Table 3), and from seed
orchards (Table 4). Regarding natural stands,
lodgepole pine gives 0.9 m$^3$ or 64% more on poor
sites and 1.4 m$^3$ or 25% on good sites. Compared
with pine from seed orchards the superiority is
much less — 0.5 m$^3$ or 28% on land with low pro-
duction and 0.3 m$^3$ or 5% on high production land.
Table 4. Volume production under bark of Scots pine from seed orchards and lodgepole pine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>Scots pine/seed orchards Mean production m³</th>
<th>Lodgepole pine Mean production m³</th>
<th>Difference m³</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>+28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In practice this should mean that lodgepole pine will be planted on forest land with low production which is to be found at high elevations and in the northern part of the country. During 1975 lodgepole pine was planted on about 10% of the total planted area. Most certainly its use will increase.

Old provenance experiments have shown that about 20% greater growth of Norway spruce is obtained in the south of Sweden if the species is taken from eastern Europe — White Russia, Romania and Poland. Therefore, today spruce from eastern Europe is planted on approximately 10% of the planting area or the same acreage as lodgepole pine and the tendency shows an appreciable increase.

Summary

The development of Swedish forestry is, of course, due to many factors. Changes in cost and timber prices have a great effect. Of great importance also are the differences existing regarding size of land holdings for different owner categories. The large land holders can use the new methods earlier than the small landowners. My conclusions about the tendencies within Swedish forestry must be considered in this light.

The raw material will be utilized better than before. This improvement will be obtained by using more of the stemwood and by stump harvesting. Stump harvesting should expand rapidly and supply the forest industry with important quantities of new wood material. Stump harvesting will produce several positive biological effects.

Fertilization on mineral soil will increase the total growth of the Swedish forests by 5-10%. Drainage of wet mineral forest soils will be intensified. The non-productive peat land represents an important production potential but it is hard to anticipate to what extent this land can be made productive by drainage and fertilization.

The proportion of natural regeneration will be reduced and the artificial will increase. The mechanization of artificial regeneration will start in a few years and will expand rapidly. The importance of rooted plants will increase in the future. It is possible that cuttings will be used on the best sites.

Today, lodgepole pine of central and northern British Columbia provenances and Norway spruce of eastern European origin are planted in about the same proportions — together about 20% of the planting area of the country. It is probable that the use of these species will increase in future to increase wood production in Sweden.
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